Exhibit 1

Transcript of April 11, 2025 Pre-Motion Conference UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X Docket#

THE CITY OF NEW YORK et al., : 22-cv-03190-KAM-PK

Plaintiffs,

- versus - : U.S. Courthouse : Brooklyn, New York

JUAN HENRIQUEZ et al., :

: April 11, 2025

Defendants : 10:43 a.m.

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL CAUSE FOR PRE-MOTION CONFERENCE
BEFORE THE HONORABLE PEGGY KUO
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

A P P E A R A N C E S:

For the Plaintiff: Gerald E. Singleton, Esq.

Via telephone

New York City Law Department`

100 Church Street New York, NY 10007

<u>For the Defendant</u>: Jordan Fletcher, Esq.

Fletcher Law, PLLC

234 Fifth Avenue, 2nd Floor

New York, NY 10001

<u>Transcription Service</u>: Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.

61 Beatrice Avenue

West Islip, New York 11795 RL.Transcriptions2@gmail.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound-recording, transcript produced by transcription service

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Proceedings THE COURT: This is Civil Cause for a Pre-Motion conference in the matter of the City of New York et al. v. Henriquez et al., docket number 22-cv-3190. Magistrate Judge Peggy Kuo presiding. Will the parties please state their appearances beginning with the plaintiff. MR. SINGLETON: Gerald Singleton for the City of New York, Office of the Corporation Counsel. MR. FLETCHER: Good morning, your Honor. Jordan Fletcher for defendant, counterclaim plaintiff Juan Henriquez. Nice to see you again. THE COURT: All right. Good morning, everyone. Mr. Singleton, you are not here. MR. SINGLETON: Your Honor, I apologize. I was not -- I missed it. I just got back from vacation on a redeye on Wednesday morning. I've been buried in work for the last two days. My colleague just came in and said he got a call and we were supposed to be in court. He said he got the date wrong. I apologize. I don't know, I thought I was on top of it. We had it down on the calendar for next week. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Singleton, you have two co-counsel. Why are they not here if you're not available?

MR. SINGLETON: Same reason. We had it on the

```
3
                            Proceedings
1
   calendar on the docket for next week. I don't know how
 2
   that happened, but it did. And when one is in the
 3
   office, the other is not.
 4
              THE COURT: What date did you have it on for?
 5
              MR. SINGLETON: Which is what? Next Friday.
 6
   The 18th I believe, next Friday.
 7
              THE COURT: There is nothing scheduled for next
 8
   Friday.
 9
              MR. SINGLETON: I understand that, your Honor.
10
   I'm saying I guess I would characterize it as law office
11
   failure.
             I apologize.
12
              THE COURT: All right. Well, Mr. Fletcher is
13
   here and I am prepared to go forward. This is a
14
   motion --
15
              MR. SINGLETON: Your Honor --
16
              THE COURT: -- to hold the city in contempt for
17
   certain actions that it is alleged to have taken.
18
   pretty serious.
19
              MR. SINGLETON: I understand that, your Honor.
20
   And I wrote a letter and I grieved it and I would
21
   appreciate -- I don't know what to say. I mean I don't
22
   have a suit on. I live in Queens. I cannot get there in
   a -- I don't want to hold the Court up. I can do it
23
24
   telephonically, but I can't be there in the next half
25
   hour. I can --
```

Proceedings

THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm not going to require you to be here because you can't be here quickly enough for this to make sense, but are you prepared to explain what the city has or has not done that is the subject of the proposed contempt motion?

MR. SINGLETON: Your Honor, we responded by letter motion. We stated that the only thing that we haven't -- there are some vestigial references. We've taken down the flyer. As I understand it, they were taking down the flyers that could be regarded as -- that weren't of an informational nature. These are just historical documents. They're nothing promoting the current conference. There is nothing visible to a consumer that would lead to confusion. There is no possibility of consumer confusion based on the things that they're complaining about.

We have a domain name that had MSOC in it. We are not using that. We're redirecting traffic from that site to a new website so a consumer would not see that. If they had a link to that because they are FDNY -- most of the people who attend the FDNY conference are FDNY paramedics and first responders and NYPD. They're city employees. And if they have a bookmark in their -- as I understand it, there's a bookmark in their computer and they had the old site, it will automatically redirect to

Proceedings

the new site. But there's nothing on the new site that uses the trademarks. I believe we are in compliance with the preliminary injunction order and this is still under litigation. We don't want to give up the domain name because it falls into a pool and then someone else will grab it up. It's already been reversed once on appeal and we're taking an appeal now.

THE COURT: All right. So I do see that the fdnymsoc.com is a current website and it redirects without any commentary to the fdnypro.org/srfm website which is advertising its own conference. I appreciate that that conference is not called MSOC and it's not used on the conference. But because somebody has the old domain name and has gone there and there's nothing on the FDNY website that disassociates itself from MSOC, I'm not sure why FDNY hasn't taken it upon itself to explain its lack of relationship with MSOC. Is that something that's been considered?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SINGLETON: Well, as I say, I mean if it would help I mean we can put a disclaimer on the site that --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SINGLETON: -- we're not affiliated with the defendant's site.

THE COURT: Okay. I think that would help.

Proceedings

So then the other issue I had is there was something, and I think you made reference to it, there was a footnote in Mr. Fletcher's letter that said that -- footnote 2, counsel stated the foundation might take down some, but not all, of the public web pages containing the MSOC marks. Do you know if that has happened?

MR. SINGLETON: As I understand, they were going to take down the flyers. I didn't get a response for that proposal. And at the time the letter motion was filed I responded. I have not confirmed that the flyers were taken down. As I understand it, as I said, those were flyers that promoted prior conferences. There's no reason to leave them up and they were going to take those down. And I'll confirm that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SINGLETON: If the Court thinks that those should not be there, I will make sure they are removed today.

THE COURT: Right. Okay. So that if it hasn't happened, that will happen. The agreement is that the flyers will be taken down.

MR. SINGLETON: I'll take the flyers down.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. And so Mr.

24 Fletcher, I looked on the websites that were discussed

25 because I didn't have anything attached to this request

7 Proceedings 1 and I appreciate it's a pre-motion conference request and 2 not the briefing itself. But I didn't want to see -because I need to determine whether the motion can go 3 4 forward. And if there isn't enough to support a finding 5 of contempt, then there's no point going forward. 6 I did see, as Mr. Singleton mentioned, that the 7 fdnymsoc.com website, the old URL, redirects the 8 fdnypro.org for the new conference. I've indicated that that looks confusing and so Mr. Singleton says that he 9 10 will make sure the FDNY has some indication either on the 11 old website or on the new one that says something to the 12 effect -- because it's advertising a new conference, that 13 this conference --14 MR. SINGLETON: Well, your Honor, there is no 15 old -- your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. SINGLETON: There is no old website. 18 just a URL. It is just an address. And so if you -- we 19 have hundreds of domain names. And it redirects traffic 20 to the new website. 21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 22 MR. SINGLETON: And so I would ask that -- so 23 there's nothing to be posted on the old -- there is no 24 old website.

Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

```
Proceedings
```

MR. SINGLETON: And I would ask, so that we don't, we're not back before you in another few days, that Mr. Fletcher -- you know, I'll put up the disclaimer, reasonable. I mean we may have a dispute over whether it's too much. But if it's just to say that, you know, the FDNY has no relationship with, and I'll put his client's web, you know, I'll put his URL address, his website name.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FLETCHER: Your Honor --

THE COURT: So I'll give Mr. Fletcher a moment to talk about that proposal.

MR. FLETCHER: Yeah. Your Honor, if I could back up and look at this from a higher view. First off, let me say I did not include a whole bunch of exhibits to my pre-motion letter because I felt we would be talking about it and I would be briefing it and it requires affidavits --

THE COURT: Well, I mean I think that the point there that's a little bit tricky is that the websites are not always static. And so if you saw something that you thought was not in compliance and you didn't -- maybe you do have a screenshot and --

MR. FLETCHER: I have lots of screenshots, your

25 Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know --

9

Proceedings THE COURT: Okay. And so if there's some indication of conduct, then it would be easier for the Court to be able to see it because if I go on now and it's already been fixed, then I don't see what you're talking about. So okay, I hear you that you have screenshots. MR. FLETCHER: So your Honor, if I could back up? What we're talking about here is actually a full suite of SEO search engine optimization technologies. THE COURT: Right. Okay. Don't use terms like that that are not self-evident. So start again. MR. FLETCHER: Okay. So search engine optimization is -- it's called SEO which is essentially different things that website owners and web developers can do to influence search results. So the whole purpose of all of this is that when someone types in a keyword into a Google search bar or another search bar, SEO tells the search results what to do. And what SEO does is --THE COURT: So SEO is an algorithm? MR. FLETCHER: SEO is, sorry, it's a method. I'm not an expert. MR. SINGLETON: It's an acronym for search engine optimization. THE COURT: Yes, I heard that but I don't

10 Proceedings 1 MR. SINGLETON: I'm not tech savvy and I don't 2 know much more than that. 3 Then don't interject, Mr. THE COURT: 4 Singleton. Mr. Fletcher is trying to explain it and I 5 have some questions. So the question is is it something, 6 for example, that a company like Google has, or is it 7 something that the owner of the website has, or is it 8 something else? 9 MR. FLETCHER: Let me do my best to explain it 10 because I don't know whether it's letter A, B, or C, and 11 it may be all of the above. 12 It is something that the owner of a website, or 13 someone who runs a website, can do, and there are 14 various -- either they can do it themselves, they can get 15 third-party vendors to do it for them, and there are 16 third-party tools --17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. FLETCHER: -- that they plug in. 19 THE COURT: Okay. So what I hear you 20 explaining is something that I am familiar with which is 21 that there are tricks of the trade so to speak that 22 people can do to make sure that their website is at the 23 top of the heap whenever a search engine is being used by 24 an end-user. And so therefore, you are saying that it is

really something that is used by the owner of the

11 Proceedings 1 website, right? 2 MR. FLETCHER: Yes, exactly. It is --3 THE COURT: And so you could, for example, use 4 words on your website that a very popular search terms 5 and so it will pop up and be at the top of the heap. 6 Okay. 7 MR. FLETCHER: You can do that but you can also 8 do a host of things that are not necessarily publicly visible but that are basically embedded into the 9 architecture of the website. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. Great. So tell me what you 12 think is embedded here that isn't -- because I looked on 13 the FDNY website and I didn't even actually see the old 14 flyers or advertisements. I did see other websites like 15 local firehouses advertising prior years and they talk 16 about MSOC but they're not FDNY controlled. 17 MR. FLETCHER: Right. So --18 THE COURT: So what is it that FDNY is doing on 19 its website that is driving MSOC traffic to them? 20 MR. SINGLETON: Understood. There's about four 21 or five things that they're doing. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. FLETCHER: And some of them are publicly 24 visible and some are not. So as of -- in mid-March I 25 found nine publicly viewable webpages. Earlier this week

```
12
                            Proceedings
1
   I found six. And I did that just by going into --
 2
   there's a search bar on the foundation's website.
 3
              THE COURT: I'm going to do that right now.
 4
   I did this before I came in because I was curious what
 5
   you were talking about.
 6
              MR. FLETCHER: It's a little hard to find the
 7
   search bar. It's kind of buried.
 8
              THE COURT: Well, I will find it. So tell
 9
   me -- you're looking at fdnypro?
10
              MR. FLETCHER: Yeah, it's on fdnypro but you
11
   have to like -- you can't get to it from the homepage,
12
   but if you click on some of the links --
13
              THE COURT: All right. So let me click on the
14
           I'm on the homepage now. Where do I go?
15
              MR. FLETCHER: Can I pull up the homepage on my
16
   computer too?
17
              THE COURT: Yes, please. Because what I see at
18
    fdnypro.org are -- it appears like there are several
19
    several podcasts. I was impressed by the vast volume of
20
   podcasts. But I also see magazines and books and events
21
   and insider as links or click -- I don't know what those
22
   are called, the bars where you click on it. Or tabs.
23
    Sorry. They're tabs. And then there's an SRFM
24
    symposium.
25
              MR. FLETCHER: Yes. So where is this?
```

```
13
                            Proceedings
1
              THE COURT: So tell me where I should click.
 2
              MR. FLETCHER: Okay. Finding the search bar.
 3
   Every time I go --
              THE COURT: Or what I can search for that will
 4
 5
   get me there directly. In other words, Mr. Fletcher --
 6
              MR. FLETCHER: Yes.
 7
              THE COURT: -- an SEO is not necessarily a use
 8
   of a trademark.
 9
              MR. FLETCHER: No, SEO is a method.
              THE COURT: Okay. And so I need to --
10
11
              MR. FLETCHER: And there are things that you
12
   can do with the method.
13
              THE COURT: Right. So I want to see where the
14
   trademark is used because the injunction was against use.
15
              MR. FLETCHER: Understood. I apologize.
16
                       (Pause in proceedings)
17
              MR. FLETCHER:
                            FDNY. FDNY Foundation.
18
              THE COURT: Okay. So FDNY not pro. FDNY
19
   Foundation?
20
              MR. FLETCHER: Yeah.
21
              THE COURT: Dot org or com?
22
              MR. FLETCHER: Dot org. Okay. So if you go
23
    to fdnyfoundation.org --
24
              THE COURT: I see it.
25
              MR. FLETCHER: -- and you see at the top
```

14 Proceedings 1 there's a little magnifying glass next to donate now? 2 THE COURT: Yes. 3 MR. FLETCHER: Okay. If you click on the 4 magnifying glass and type in MSOC, you'll see there are 5 still two public results for MSOC and articles on their 6 website. 7 THE COURT: Right, but those are historical 8 website, historical documents from 2014 and 2015. 9 MR. FLETCHER: They are, but this is why it's 10 important because basically how SEO works is there are 11 bots that crawl the internet. There's like little 12 computer bots, they're software programs, that crawl 13 webpages and find search terms and find words that are 14 imbedded in websites. 15 THE COURT: All right. But th is --MR. FLETCHER: And they use that to create an 16 17 association and influence search. 18 THE COURT: Okay. I understand. But when I 19 typed in Medical Special Operations Conference -- well 20 actually, when I put in MSOC I did get men's soccer from 21 many, many pages. But I'm going to put in Medical 22 Special Operations Conference, right? 23 MR. FLETCHER: You mean into Google? 24 THE COURT: Into Google.

MR. FLETCHER: Yeah. This is good.

```
15
                            Proceedings
1
              THE COURT: So if what you're saying is correct
   and of concern --
 2
 3
              MR. FLETCHER: If you look to the fifth result
 4
   on Google.
 5
              THE COURT: Okay. Then I see Medical Special
   Operations Conference, number one, and that is yours.
 6
 7
              MR. FLETCHER: Right.
 8
              THE COURT: That is correct, right? November
 9
   2025.
10
                             Mm hm.
              MR. FLETCHER:
11
              THE COURT: The second one, Special Operations
12
   Medical Association --
13
              MR. FLETCHER: Someone else.
14
              THE COURT: -- SOMA, and that's not you or
15
    anybody.
16
              MR. FLETCHER:
                             Mm hm.
17
              THE COURT: Then the next one is also SOMA.
18
   Then there's the National USNR and Medical Special
19
   Operations. That is a collaboration with your
20
   organization. And then you get to FDNY Pro.
21
              MR. FLETCHER: Right. So the fifth result on
22
   Google right now is the foundation's current event.
              THE COURT: Okay. And that's the symposium.
23
24
   Nowhere on there does it say MSOC.
25
              MR. FLETCHER: No, but the point is -- so there
```

16 Proceedings are the publicly viewable webpages on the foundation's 1 2 website. There's also social media posts that were 3 publicly viewable in March. THE COURT: 4 Yes. 5 MR. FLETCHER: The old URLs, the way those work 6 is again, they said pings to the bots and the bots create 7 an association. 8 THE COURT: Right. So the issue again goes back to use so --9 10 MR. FLETCHER: The issue is they are using it 11 even in a nonpublic way to influence searches. 12 THE COURT: I know that it's nonpublic but tell 13 me how they are using it, right? Because if somebody 14 types in my name, you know, and cats, right? 15 MR. FLETCHER: Right. 16 THE COURT: I have no -- I am not creating a 17 website that has cats and I'm trying to drive, you know, 18 anything to me. But if somebody wrote about somehow that 19 I have cats or there's some link between me and cats, 20 that can show up. And then if that shows up, there may 21 be a link to the courthouse biography of me, right? So I 22 didn't do anything. It just showed up there and --23 MR. FLETCHER: But there are things that you 24 can do to make that happen.

THE COURT: But that's what I'm asking you

```
17
                            Proceedings
1
   about. What is the use? Tell me how it's being used.
 2
              MR. FLETCHER: The uses, one, the legacy
 3
   pages --
 4
              THE COURT: Okay.
 5
              MR. FLETCHER: -- that contain MSOC. Two, the
   hidden URLs --
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Okay.
 8
              MR. FLETCHER: -- that still redirect traffic.
   So one of the problems with the hidden URLs is there is
 9
10
   obviously collaboration between these parties for years,
11
   right?
12
              THE COURT: Yes.
              MR. FLETCHER: During that time there were all
13
   sorts of marketing flyers and emails that went out.
14
15
   of someone that attended a 2019 conference searches their
16
   email and clicks on an old FDNY MSOC link, they're going
17
    to be directed straight to the foundation's current
18
   conference.
19
              THE COURT:
                          Right.
20
              MR. FLETCHER: So that's going to divert
21
    traffic from people looking for the MSOC event to the --
22
              THE COURT: All right. But I think that can be
23
   solved by having some disclaimer on the FDNY website
24
   because here's the problem. You said there's been
25
   collaboration for many years. It's like having a wedding
```

```
Proceedings
```

1 announcement from the New York Times posted on the web.
2 It's there forever. Right?

MR. FLETCHER: But the thing is --

after you don't want to have anything to do with that individual, the news article is there. And so you're going to get -- if you type in the names of the two previously married people, that will show up and it will show that they were married. And it may also direct to, you know, the husband's website and the wife might not be happy about.

So if it's a historical linkage, it's just there. And so my question is about use and --

MR. FLETCHER: But they are still using it and it can be taken down.

So the last thing I'd like to explain is about meta tags. Okay? And this is a big thing. So meta tags are essentially keywords that are embedded into the architecture of the foundation sites. And basically what that means is they are using third-party tools and they feed specific keywords to the third-party tools.

THE COURT: They who?

MR. FLETCHER: They, whoever owns the website. So in this case whoever is running the foundation's website.

```
19
                            Proceedings
1
              THE COURT: Is feeding keywords?
 2
              MR. FLETCHER: Is telling a specific tool that
 3
   it should distribute a variety of keywords.
 4
              THE COURT: Is it just by virtue of those words
 5
   being on the website or is it --
 6
              MR. FLETCHER: No, they are affirmatively
7
   placing them. They're asking this tool to embed these
 8
   keywords.
 9
              THE COURT: How do you ask the tool to do this?
10
              MR. FLETCHER: That's sort of beyond my level.
11
              THE COURT: Well, but this is important because
   it's again its use. The fact that it shows up doesn't
12
13
   make it use. The fact that there's a --
14
              MR. FLETCHER: Well, it's not showing up by
15
   accident.
              THE COURT: Well, but it's --
16
17
              MR. FLETCHER: It's showing up because they
   intend for it to show up.
18
19
              THE COURT: Okay. So that's what I'm trying to
20
   say. So --
21
              MR. FLETCHER: They are intentionally asking
22
   the tool --
23
              THE COURT: Mr. Fletcher?
24
              MR. FLETCHER: Yes, your Honor.
25
              THE COURT: Tell me how you are showing that
```

```
20
                            Proceedings
 1
    they are intentionally doing that.
 2
              MR. FLETCHER: Because if you look at the
 3
    underlying code which --
 4
              THE COURT: You have seen the underlying code?
 5
              MR. FLETCHER: My client, who originally
 6
    created this website, originally created the FDNY MSOC
 7
    website --
 8
              THE COURT: Okay.
 9
              MR. FLETCHER: -- and has a web development
   business on the --
10
11
              THE COURT: So it's in there --
12
              MR. FLETCHER: It's in there.
13
              THE COURT: -- because your client put it
14
    there.
15
              MR. FLETCHER: No, no, the FDNY currently is
16
   putting it there.
17
              THE COURT:
                          They run it but your client knows
18
    it's there because your client put it there.
19
              MR. FLETCHER: No, my client knows it's there
20
   because he knows how to see the code underlying the
21
    website.
22
              THE COURT: Okay. But he put the code there.
23
              MR. FLETCHER: Not --
24
              THE COURT: Originally.
25
              MR. FLETCHER: In 2013 --
```

```
21
                            Proceedings
 1
              THE COURT: Yes, I know.
 2
              MR. FLETCHER: -- I don't know what he did.
 3
              THE COURT:
                         But what I'm saying is your client
                    The code is still --
 4
   made the code.
 5
              MR. FLETCHER: My client built the website.
 6
              THE COURT:
                         Yes.
 7
              MR. FLETCHER: I don't know what he did.
 8
              THE COURT: But you're telling me that he can
 9
    read the code.
10
              MR. FLETCHER: He can read the -- he is able to
11
    see what keywords --
12
              THE COURT: Yes, and the keywords are there
13
   because he put in there when he built --
14
              MR. FLETCHER:
                            That is not my --
15
              THE COURT: No?
16
              MR. FLETCHER: That is not my understanding.
17
              THE COURT: Okay. Well then so you're saying
18
    that sometime after your client built the website, he
19
    didn't put the code there but when you build a website
20
    there's code there and you are aware of it, right?
21
              MR. FLETCHER: He knows how to look at
    websites --
22
23
              THE COURT: Yes.
24
              MR. FLETCHER: -- and see what keywords are
25
    embedded in the metadata.
```

```
22
                            Proceedings
1
              THE COURT:
                          But I'm wondering who put it there.
 2
              MR. FLETCHER: Well, it was not my client.
 3
   was --
 4
              THE COURT: It was not your client.
 5
              MR. FLETCHER: It was an agent of the FDNY,
 6
   whoever's running the --
 7
              THE COURT: At the time that there was a
 8
   collaboration.
 9
              MR. FLETCHER: I don't know when that occurred.
10
              THE COURT: Okay. Well, so this is the issue.
11
           It's one thing if your client at the time, let's
12
   just use the marriage analogy, at the time they were
13
   married, they bought a house together. Right? And they
   have certain -- they ran a website together and they had
14
15
   collaboration. And that's still there even after the
16
    divorce.
             That's one scenario.
              The other scenario was it wasn't there when
17
18
   they were married and it was added after the divorce.
19
              MR. FLETCHER: I don't know when it was added,
20
   your Honor. But before the divorce, they were allowed to
21
   use the name. And as of February they're not. They've
22
   been ordered to stop.
23
              THE COURT: Okay. So then what you need to do
24
   is have a discussion about the use because this is a very
25
    sophisticated use that people may not be aware that
```

```
23
                            Proceedings
1
   they're actually using and maybe they don't want to use
 2
   it but it's just there.
                             So it makes --
 3
              MR. FLETCHER: But I raised this with the city
   and they refused.
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                         Okay. Okay.
              MR. FLETCHER: Their argument is that it's not
 6
7
   legal.
 8
              THE COURT: Yes. Okay.
 9
              MR. FLETCHER: And there's a lot of case law
10
   that says that it is.
11
              THE COURT:
                          I understand. So Mr. Singleton, do
12
   you understand what's going on?
13
              MR. SINGLETON: I'm trying to. I'm not that
14
   savvy.
15
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
16
              MR. SINGLETON: As I understood from the 1-800
17
   case that's cited in our letter on page 2, the bottom of
18
   page 2, where the Second Circuit said the existence of
19
   any such meta data, quote, in an unpublished directory of
20
   terms that trigger delivery of contextually relevant
21
   advertising is not a use in commerce.
22
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
23
              MR. SINGLETON: And I asked the webmaster for
24
   the FDNY site are we -- you know, as I understand it and
25
    from what I'm hearing from this conversation about SEO, I
```

24 Proceedings 1 think people can do things to their own websites to 2 increase search engine optimization. But Google does a lot of things. And I quizzed him. I asked our webmaster 3 are we doing anything to put search terms in the MSOC, 4 5 and he said no. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. SINGLETON: You know, it's like, as I put in my letter, if you do a Google targeted search for FDNY 8 MSOC, you're going to get the -- you're going to pull up 9 10 vestigial references to these historical documents in 11 line with your analogy to an old wedding. But you're not 12 going to get it in a direct result looking for MSOC or Medical Special Operations Conference. There we're 13 14 coming up as a third, fourth, fifth result because like SOMA, which comes up second, it's just another conference 15 16 that --17 THE COURT: All right. So --18 MR. SINGLETON: -- somehow Google, it triggers 19 Google to throw it up there. 20 THE COURT: Okay. So let me ask you this, Mr. 21 Singleton. Does the FDNY want people to come to its 22 website for its conference when they're actually looking 23 for the MSOC conference? 24 MR. SINGLETON: No. I mean we're not 25 talking --

25 Proceedings 1 THE COURT: So then --2 MR. SINGLETON: As I understand, we're not 3 using terms that are targeting people that want his 4 website to come to ours. 5 THE COURT: So then --6 MR. SINGLETON: If you put in FDNY, maybe, and 7 MSOC, then you will somehow come up with these -- I don't 8 even know if you put up -- I don't know. 9 THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Singleton --10 MR. SINGLETON: I'm not sure I did -- if you 11 put in M -- if you search --12 THE COURT: Mr. Singleton? 13 MR. SINGLETON: Yes? I'm sorry. 14 THE COURT: Calm down. I suggest, since it's 15 clear that you don't want people to be misdirected that 16 you have a conversation with Mr. Fletcher and work this 17 You may not be aware that something is happening 18 behind the scenes. It may not technically be something 19 that the Second Circuit recognizes at the moment as being 20 a use but it is something that appears to have happened. 21 It doesn't seem expensive because when we did that 22 search, Mr. Fletcher, you got the two hits and it's not 23 expensive and it doesn't push FDNY to the top of the pile 24 in terms of the search. So it may be that it's happening 25 and people aren't aware that that's happening or don't

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Proceedings

know what the effect is of its happening. But I do think that there's at least at a cursory look that there arguably could be some usage happening but I don't know in the context of contempt whether it's a willful use because it sounds like people don't quite understand what is happening.

So I would suggest instead of pursuing the contempt motion that you have a conversation with the city and Mr. Singleton and his tech people who actually understand what's going on to work this out including adding a disclaimer, including putting in -- I mean it's hard to break those linkages if it exists in a historical It's just there. You know? article. The internet is Right? So if there is some code that is redirecting and that is not something that the city wants to do, then please work it out so that you can fix it. And also, there's been an offer to put a disclaimer which would make it even more explicit so if somebody does go there for some reason, or gets redirected there, that the reader understands what's going on and there's no confusion because that's the point of the trademark laws is there not be confusion. Okay?

MR. FLETCHER: Your Honor, I'm happy to try to work this out with the city.

THE COURT: Yes.

```
27
                            Proceedings
 1
              MR. FLETCHER: Can I say first if you put FDNY
 2
   MSOC into Google --
 3
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
              MR. FLETCHER: -- the first three results are
 4
 5
    their current conference.
 6
              THE COURT: Yes, I understand, because you --
 7
              MR. FLETCHER: But that is because of things
 8
    that they're actively doing today. And if they
 9
    stopped --
10
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
11
                   FLETCHER: -- those linkages, I understand
12
    from my client, would break almost immediately.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. So then you just need to
14
    have a discussion about what is happening because it
15
    sounds like Mr. Singleton might not be the best person to
16
    understand what is happening because he can only see what
17
   he can see. And I'm surmising, Mr. Singleton, that
18
    you're not familiar with the code underlying the website.
19
    And so please have that discussion with technical people
20
    so they understand. So if it's an inadvertent use, I
21
    don't think that that is really a basis for contempt.
22
              And so if you can work it out -- the fact that
23
    you put in, that you've tried those things, to have the
24
    conversation and the city has been unwilling to engage is
25
    problematic. And so I'm now directing Mr. Singleton to
```

```
Proceedings
```

make sure that the right people on his side are having a conversation with the right people on your side so that you can work this out without the need to move forward with the contempt motion. Okay?

MR. FLETCHER: Your Honor, if I could say one other thing? The Second Circuit case law is overwhelming in our favor.

THE COURT: I'm not going there --

MR. FLETCHER: Okay.

THE COURT: -- because I've said there is enough to talk about use. But what I'm focusing on is --

MR. FLETCHER: Resolving it.

Right? Because for content, if people don't understand it and it's just happening, I don't think a court, in general, the court is not going to find content if it's not willful. And the representation you made which was that the city was unwilling to talk, like I said, is concerning. So I'm now directing the city to have the conversations to figure this out so that there is not a lot of -- so there's no confusion through something as clear as a disclaimer, as clear as making sure the code doesn't redirect in a way that it's not supposed to happen. And then if you still run into problems, you can

bring the issue to the Court. But based on what I'm

Proceedings

hearing, it is something happening behind the scenes and so you just need to, or Mr. Singleton needs to make sure that the right people on the city side are talking to your folks, Mr. Fletcher, so that you understand it.

MR. FLETCHER: Thank you, your Honor. If Mr. Singleton is representing that they do not intend to take steps to intentionally divert traffic to their website, then that's I think a basis for conversation.

THE COURT: Yes. And that --

MR. FLETCHER: But the conversation to date has been a wall.

THE COURT: I appreciate that. I understand.

And I know that this area is somewhat complicated and I know that people with a tech background are better suited to understand these things. And so that's why I'm urging that somebody with a tech background and understanding of this have the conversation. Okay?

So I'm going to deny granting leave for the contempt motion without prejudice because I'm directing the parties to talk through what these issues are. And then you can certainly -- and if you want me to get involved as a mediator to get things going or to work things out, I'm happy to do that so that you can get into a good -- both parties are in a comfortable position as the case progresses because this is just the preliminary

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

30

Proceedings injunction phase. But I understand what Judge Matsumoto has said. Her words are clear. But execution and application could be a little complicated because of the technology. Okay? So I appreciate, Mr. Fletcher, that you've brought this to the Court's attention. I've done my best to look. I think I understand what the issue is now. direct the parties, especially the city -- I direct the parties to confer and work this out and I specifically direct the city to make sure that somebody with technical knowledge is suited, is involved so that you can figure out what the solution is to prevent any confusion pending the outcome of the lawsuit. All right. Mr. Singleton, anything else for you? MR. SINGLETON: No. I would just like to get a copy, a transcript of today's conference expedited so that I can give it to the webmaster so that he -- I don't want anything to get lost in communication, obviously. THE COURT: Sure. MR. SINGLETON: And I've had conversations with the webmaster. THE COURT: And you are free --MR. SINGLETON: He's a smart guy. know, the scientific people talk a different language it

Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.

```
31
                            Proceedings
1
   seems to me sometimes and I don't want any
 2
   miscommunication here given the seriousness of the
 3
   issues.
              THE COURT:
                          That is a valid and good concern.
 4
 5
   You are free to order the transcript and it will be at
 6
   the city's cost.
 7
              MR. SINGLETON: I appreciate that. Could I ask
 8
   that the court reporter call me?
 9
              THE COURT: There is no court reporter.
10
              MR. SINGLETON: Oh, I'm sorry. Oh you mean I
11
   have to -- this has to be transcribed. Okay. Okay.
12
    This is a recording. Okay. I understand.
13
              THE COURT: All right. So I will give you 30
14
   days to get all this worked out so that --
15
              MR. SINGLETON: Thank you, your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: -- it's not lingering. But after
17
    30 days, if things have not been worked out, Mr.
18
   Fletcher, like I said, you're granted leave to renew your
19
   motion with more specific information. If you're going
20
   to attach screenshots, I think at that point you can
21
   attach them without -- because it's still referred to me,
22
   I'll grant you leave to attach screenshots and I can see
23
    what you're talking about.
24
              MR. FLETCHER: Okay, your Honor. I also -- if
25
   you'd like to see all the documentation up front, I also
```

Proceedings 1 included an affidavit from my client so he can --2 THE COURT: I don't need it now because you're 3 not moving forward with the motion for contempt, but 4 afterwards. 5 MR. FLETCHER: If we go there, because he's 6 sort of the best person to explain some of this. 7 THE COURT: Yes, of course. Of course. And 8 then please share with the city any screenshots you have 9 to date that can explain what is causing you concern. 10 MR. SINGLETON: Well, your Honor, if I might say given your offer to mediate this issue, it may be --11 12 I mean apparently his client, Juan Henriquez, is a code writer and savvy on this stuff. And I've got a 13 14 webmaster. And maybe it might be wise, if we have 15 further issues, to have a mediation at which they're both 16 present to enlighten us about what they're saying if 17 there's still a dispute. 18 THE COURT: Well, they should in the first 19

instance talk to each other.

MR. SINGLETON: I agree.

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And then the lawyers have an obligation to understand what's going on. And then if you still can't work it out, you can come to me.

MR. SINGLETON: Very good.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fletcher, anything

```
#: 3065
                                                                   33
                              Proceedings
 1
    else?
 2
               MR. FLETCHER: Nothing else, your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you, everybody.
    Thank you, Mr. Singleton.
 4
 5
               MR. SINGLETON: Thank you.
 6
                           (Matter concluded)
 7
                                 -000-
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

I, MARY GRECO, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the said proceedings is a true and accurate transcript from the electronic soundrecording of the proceedings reduced to typewriting in the above-entitled matter.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand this **13th** day of **April**, 2025.

Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.